Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/854,356	CHEEVER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642	
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	(3)		
(2) <u>Nat Parent</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 11 December 2003	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 126 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI		o Dicoussen.	
See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT, WAS	3 DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
•			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that claim 126, not included in the Restriction Requirement mailed on 03-04-2003 is a different invention because the claim uses the protein of the refered claim in order to treat cells that are going to be administered, therefore the claim is withdrawn from examination on merits .